

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,206	07/08/2003	Bernd Luhmann	101769- /tesa 469.5-KGB	8033
7.	590 06/30/2004		EXAM	INER
Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus P.A.			AHMAD, NASSER	
30th Floor 220 East 42th Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	New York, NY 10017		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/615,206	LUHMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Nasser Ahmad	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS title, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 July 2003.					
<u></u>						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	the second secon					
Disposition of Claims	·					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/249,710</u>. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
		ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a		ceived.				
	not of the continue copies here.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/8/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT0-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/615,206

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8, the phrase "ration of pulling" is unclear. Could it be directed to "ratio of pulling"?

Claim 10 is a duplicate of claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kreckel (5516581 or 5672402).

Kreckel'581 relates to a redetachable device (50), as shown in figures-3A and 3B, comprising a plate (54) with the sides and/or front side having fastening means (52) and the rear side having a strip of double sided adhesive film (56) that is adhesively attached in such a way that the film protrudes beyond the plate as a grip (64). The adhesive film can be released by pulling the film in the direction of the bonding plane, stretching the film (figures 1A, 1B and 1C). Figures 3A and 3B also shows that the rear

Application/Control Number: 10/615,206

Art Unit: 1772

side of the plate has spacers located laterally alongside the adhesively attached film and that the height of the spacers are less than the thickness of the adhesive for the adhesive to attach to a substrate. The spacers are located along both sides of the film and are formed as segments which extends into the end region. Figure-2 shows that the adhesive can have an intermediate support (32) for the adhesive and the rear side is covered with a release liner (40). The device is used to proved self-adhesive fastening and residue-free redetachment (col. 1, lines 12-14).

Similarly, Kreckel'402 also relates to a redetachable device (50) as shown in figures 3A and 3B having the structure as described above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreckel ('581 or '402).

Kreckel'581 or '402, as discussed above, fails to teach that the spacers has a height of 35-90% of the adhesive thickness or that the ratio of pulling-off to tearing load of the film is at least 1:1.5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Kreckel's plate with the spacer height being 35-90% of the adhesive thickness in order to provide for optimum adhesion to an applying substrate. As for the ratio, it would have been an obvious property of the adhesive film because the film has the

Application/Control Number: 10/615,206

Art Unit: 1772

same characteristics of being stretchable and redetachable, and the specific ratio would have been obvious based on optimization through routine experimentation to provide stretchability without tearing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad June 24, 2004.